

Ray or other			UNITED STATES DEPART United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 2023 www.uspto.gov	rademark Office
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/088,770	03/20/2002		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Kazuhiko Nakada	542-009-2	2329
WARE FRESS ADOLPHSON, BRADFORD G	REEN BUILDING 5 EET. P.O. BOX 224	UYS &	FAY, ZOF ART UNIT 1614 DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Anti-us Survey	10/088,770	NAKADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zohreh Fay	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the corresp ndence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than the period for reply will by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing that the period for reply will be statured by the	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
_		
, — 20 <u>/</u>	his action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for formal ma · <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	itters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		• •
		:
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept		ne Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	Ince. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
11) Ine proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ di	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	•
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(e) (to a provisional analization)
a) Light translation of the foreign language provi	isional application has been	en received
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	4) Interview Su 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office	o) 🗀 Olifei.	•

Application/Control Number: 10/088,770

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-4 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 4 recites the limitation "antiseptic agent, a chelating agent..... and a surface active agent" in lines 2-4 of claim 2 and line 1 of claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by the Patent Abstract of Japan 10319358 (Menicon co LTD). The Patent Abstract of Japan teaches the use of alkyl amine of repeating units at the claimed concentrations as preserving agents.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over the Patent Abstract of Japan 10319358 (Menicin CO LTD) and The Patent Abstract of Japan 10108899 (Tomey Technol Corp).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,770

Art Unit: 1614

The Patent Abstract of Japan 10319358 teaches the use of the claimed polyamine with the repeating units in a contact lens formulation as a preservative. See the entire abstract. The Patent Abstract of Japan 10108899 teaches the use of surfactants in contact lens solutions as old and well known. See the entire Abstract. The primary reference differs from the claimed invention in the presence of the secondary components, such as surface active agents. It would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art to use the secondary components in a contact lens solution in view of the secondary reference, which teaches the addition of surface-active agents to a contact lens formulation is old and well known.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since one relates to the use of the claimed compounds as preservatives in a contact lens formulation and the other relates to the addition of surfactants to contact lens preserving solutions as old and well known. The above references in combination make clear that polyamine compounds with the repeating units have been previously used in a contact lens formulation. The above references also make clear that the addition of surfactants to contact lens formulations is old and well known. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 2 and 4 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4604. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,770

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

\*Z.F\*\* January 8, 2003

ZOHREH FAY FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200